arXiv:1512.05167v2 [math.NT] 15 Jan 2016

A POSITIVE PROPORTION OF CUBIC CURVES OVER $\mathbb{Q}$ ADMIT LINEAR DETERMINANTAL REPRESENTATIONS

YASUHIRO ISHITSUKA

Abstract. Can a smooth plane cubic be defined by the determinant of a square matrix with entries in linear forms in three variables? If we can, we say that it admits a linear determinantal representation. In this paper, we investigate linear determinantal representations of smooth plane cubics over various fields, and prove that any smooth plane cubic over a large field (or an ample field) admits a linear determinantal representation. Since local fields are large, any smooth plane cubic over a local field always admits a linear determinantal representation. As an application, we prove that a positive proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$, ordered by height, admit linear determinantal representations. We also prove that, if the conjecture of Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains on the distribution of Selmer groups is true, a positive proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ fail the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations.

1. Introduction

A smooth plane cubic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ defined over a field k is said to *admit a linear determinantal representation* over k if there is a triple of square matrices (M_0, M_1, M_2) of size three with entries in k such that C is defined by the cubic equation

$$\det(X_0M_0 + X_1M_1 + X_2M_2) = 0.$$

It is a classical problem in algebraic geometry to find linear determinantal representations of plane curves over an algebraically closed field ([Dol12, Chapter 4], [Vin89]). For concrete examples of conics and cubics, see Example 4.3, Example 5.6 and Example 5.7.

Recently, the arithmetic nature of linear determinantal representations has been studied by several people ([BGW13, Ho09, Ish14, II14a, II14b, II14c]). It is applied to the computation of the Cassels–Tate pairing of elliptic curves ([FN14]). For an application to the classification of non-associative algebras motivated by coding theory, see [DG08, DG11].

The aim of this paper is to investigate linear determinantal representations of smooth plane cubics over various fields, and to give arithmetic applications. We prove that a positive proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$, ordered by height, admit linear determinantal representations. We also obtain conditional results on the proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ which fail the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations.

Theorem 1.1 (See Theorem 9.5 and §9.4)**.** We order all smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ by height (for the definition of height of smooth plane cubics, see Section 9).

(i) A positive proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ *admit* linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$.
(ii) If the conjecture of Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains on the distribution of Selmer groups [BKLPR15, Conjecture 1.3] is true, a positive proportion of smooth plane cubics

Date: January 18, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14H50; Secondary 11D41, 14F22, 14K15, 14K30.
Key words and phrases. plane cubics, large fields, determinantal representations, local-global principle.

over $\mathbb{Q}$ *fail* the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations, i.e., they admit linear determinantal representations over each local field $\mathbb{Q}_v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, whereas they do not admit linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$.

It seems difficult to prove Theorem 1.1 (ii) unconditionally. See Remark 9.8.

We also obtain unconditional results for linear determinantal representations of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. We regard an elliptic curve $(E, \mathcal{O})$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ as a smooth plane cubic by the complete linear system $|3\mathcal{O}|\colon E \to \mathbb{P}^2$.

Theorem 1.2 (See Theorem 8.1)**.** We order all isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ by height (for the definition of height of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$, see Section 8).

(i) A positive proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ *admit* linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$.
(ii) A positive proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ *fail* the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations.

Each of these results is based on the following global results recently obtained by Bhargava–Shankar and Bhargava–Skinner:

- calculation of the average order of 3-Selmer groups of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ due to Bhargava–Shankar ([BSh15b]), and
- a result due to Bhargava–Skinner ([BSk14]) which states that a positive proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have Mordell–Weil rank 1.

Also, as a key local result, we prove the existence of linear determinantal representations over large fields (Theorem 1.3). Recall that a field k is called *large* (or *ample*) if any smooth geometrically connected curve over k with a k-rational point has infinitely many k-rational points ([Pop96, Jar11]).

Theorem 1.3 (See Theorem 6.2)**.** Any smooth plane cubic over a large field admits a linear determinantal representation.

Since local fields (i.e. finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$) are large, any smooth plane cubic over a local field always admits a linear determinantal representation. Combining these results, we prove Theorem 1.2. Then, we prove Theorem 1.1 by a method of Bhargava [Bha14], where he studies the proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ which satisfy (resp. fail) the local-global principle for the existence of rational points. We choose a fundamental domain F in the space of ternary cubics over $\mathbb{R}$ with respect to the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Then we prove results similar to Theorem 1.1 *with respect to the height of Jacobian varieties (the Jacobian height)*, not with respect to the height of smooth plane cubics (Proposition 9.9). We find that a positive proportion of smooth plane cubics in F with integral coefficients are *generic* with respect to the Jacobian height. Since non-generic smooth plane cubics are negligible with respect to the height of smooth plane cubics, we can obtain a lower bound of the number of generic plane cubics with bounded *height* which admit (resp. do not admit) a linear determinantal representation by the number of generic plane cubics in F with bounded *Jacobian height* which admit (resp. do not admit) a linear determinantal representation.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions and facts about Picard groups and relative Brauer groups. In Section 3, for smooth plane curves of any degree, we prove a bijection between equivalence classes of linear determinantal representations and isomorphism classes of certain line bundles. As examples, we study the case of lines and smooth conics in Section 4. In Section 5, we obtain some sufficient conditions for a smooth plane cubic over a field to admit a linear determinantal representation. In Section 6, we recall the definition of large fields and prove Theorem 1.3. Then we apply it to study the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations over global fields in Section 7. In Section 8, we prove Theorem 1.2. Finally, in Section 9, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.4. These results are in contrast with the case of *symmetric* determinantal representations studied in [II14a] and [II14b]. In [II14a, Proposition 4.2], it is proved that a smooth plane cubic C over a field k admits a symmetric determinantal representation if and only if the Jacobian variety $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ has a non-trivial k-rational 2-torsion point. From this, it is not difficult to prove that

- any smooth plane cubic over a global field *satisfies* the local-global principle for the existence of symmetric determinantal representations ([II14a, Theorem 5.1], [II14b, Theorem 6.1]), and
- 100% of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ do *not* admit symmetric determinantal representations, and
- there are smooth plane cubics over any non-archimedean local field without symmetric determinantal representations.

Hence Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 do not hold for symmetric determinantal representations. See Remark 6.6 and Remark 7.6.

Notation. For a field k, we denote a separable closure of k as k^s. For a k-scheme X and a field extension L of k, we denote the base change by $X_L := X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \operatorname{Spec} L$. For a geometrically integral k-scheme X, $k(X)$ denotes the function field of X. For a ring R, we denote the multiplicative group as $R^\times$.

2. Preliminaries on Picard groups and relative Brauer groups

In this section, we recall definitions and basic properties of Picard groups and relative Brauer groups, which will be needed in the proof of the main results of this paper. For details, see [BLR90, Chapter 8], [CK12, §1] and references therein.

2.1. **Picard functors and Picard schemes.** Let C be a smooth projective geometrically connected curve over a field k. We denote the *Picard group* of C by $\mathrm{Pic}(C) = H^1\big(C, \mathcal{O}_C^\times\big)$. The sheafification of the fppf-presheaf

$$(k\text{-Schemes})^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Sets}), \quad T \mapsto \mathrm{Pic}(C_T) = \mathrm{Pic}(C \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k} T).$$

is called the *relative Picard functor* $\mathrm{Pic}_{C/k}$ ([BLR90, §8.1]). This functor is representable by a k-group scheme locally of finite type called the *Picard scheme* ([BLR90, §8.2, Theorem 3]). Its identity component

$$\mathrm{Jac}(C) := \mathrm{Pic}^0_{C/k} \subset \mathrm{Pic}_{C/k}$$

is an abelian variety over k called the *Jacobian variety*. Its dimension is equal to the genus $g(C)$ of C ([BLR90, §9.2, Proposition 3]).

2.2. **Relative Brauer groups.** For a scheme X, let $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ denote the (absolute) *Brauer group*, the group of equivalence classes of Azumaya algebras over X. For an affine scheme $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$, we write

$$\mathrm{Br}(R) := \mathrm{Br}(\operatorname{Spec} R).$$

If X is a quasi-projective scheme over a field k, the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ is identified with the *cohomological Brauer group*, i.e., the torsion part of $H^2_{\mathrm{fppf}}(X, \mathbb{G}_m) = H^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Since schemes appearing in this paper are all smooth and projective over a field k, we identify these two groups. We note that, for a global field k, the restriction morphisms yield an injective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Br}(k) \to \bigoplus_v \mathrm{Br}(k_v),$$

where v runs over all places of k, by class field theory ([Tat65, §9, §10]).

Definition 2.1. For a morphism of schemes $X \to Y$, the *relative Brauer group* $\mathrm{Br}(X/Y)$ is defined by the kernel of the pullback homomorphism $\mathrm{Br}(Y) \to \mathrm{Br}(X)$. We write

$$\mathrm{Br}(X/R) := \mathrm{Br}(X/\operatorname{Spec} R).$$

Let X be a smooth projective geometrically connected scheme over a field k. By the five-term exact sequence of the Leray spectral sequence, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}(k) \xrightarrow{\delta_X} \mathrm{Br}(k) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X).$$

The map $\mathrm{Br}(k) \to \mathrm{Br}(X)$ is injective if X has a k-rational point. By the definition of the relative Brauer group, we have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}(k) \xrightarrow{\delta_X} \mathrm{Br}(X/k) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2.1}$$

The sequence (2.1) implies that, for a k-rational divisor class $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}(k)$, its image $\delta_X(\alpha)$ in $\mathrm{Br}(X/k)$ is the obstruction to contain a k-rational divisor.

2.3. **Exponents of relative Brauer groups.** In this subsection, we treat exponents of relative Brauer groups. As in the previous section, let X be a smooth projective geometrically connected scheme over a field k.

Lemma 2.2. Let L be a finite separable extension of k, and assume that X has an L-rational point. Then the extension degree $[L : k]$ annihilates the relative Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(X/k)$; in other words, for an element $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(X/k)$, we have $[L : k]\alpha = 0$.

Proof. Let us consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Br}(k) & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathrm{Br}(X) \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Res}_{L/k}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Res}_{X_L/X}} \\
\mathrm{Br}(L) & \xhookrightarrow{i_L} & \mathrm{Br}(X_L) \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Cor}_{L/k}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Cor}_{X_L/X}} \\
\mathrm{Br}(k) & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathrm{Br}(X).
\end{array}$$

The horizontal map i_L in the second line is injective since X has an L-rational point. Take $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(k)$ and assume $i(\alpha) = 0$. Then we have

$$i_L \circ \mathrm{Res}_{L/k}(\alpha) = \mathrm{Res}_{X_L/X} \circ i(\alpha) = 0,$$

so $\mathrm{Res}_{L/k}(\alpha) = 0$ since i_L is injective. This shows

$$[L : k]\alpha = \mathrm{Cor}_{L/k} \circ \mathrm{Res}_{L/k}(\alpha) = 0. \qquad \square$$

As an easy consequence of the above lemma, we obtain a sufficient condition under which the relative Brauer group vanishes.

Corollary 2.3. Assume that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $\mathrm{Br}(k) = 0$, or
- X has a k-rational point, or
- k is a global field and X has a k_v-rational point for each place v of k.

Then we have $\mathrm{Br}(X/k) = 0$.

For our purposes, we specialize to the case of smooth plane cubics.

Corollary 2.4. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane cubic over a field k. Then each element of $\mathrm{Br}(C/k)$ is killed by 3; in other words, for an element $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(C/k)$, we have $3\alpha = 0$.

Proof. When k is a finite field, the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(k)$ vanishes. Hence $\mathrm{Br}(C/k) = 0$. When k is infinite, there exists a line $H \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $C \cap H$ is an étale k-scheme of order 3 by Bertini's theorem [Jou83]. Since $C \cap H$ is defined by a separable polynomial of degree 3 over k, there is a closed point $x \in C \cap H$ with $[k(x) : k] = 1$ or 3. Thus each element in $\mathrm{Br}(C/k)$ is killed by 3 by Lemma 2.2. □

3. Linear determinantal representations and line bundles

In this section, we recall the definition of linear determinantal representations of smooth plane curves and their equivalences. Then we interpret the equivalence classes with isomorphism classes of certain line bundles.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane curve of degree d over a field k. The genus of the curve C is equal to $g(C) = (d-1)(d-2)/2$. We fix projective coordinates X_0, X_1, X_2 of the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Definition 3.1.

- A *linear determinantal representation* of C is a square matrix M of size d with entries in k-linear forms in X_0, X_1, X_2 such that the equation
$$(\det(M) = 0)$$
is a defining equation of C.
- Two linear determinantal representations M, M' of C are said to be *equivalent* if we can write
$$M' = AMB$$
for some $A, B \in \mathrm{GL}_d(k)$.
- A line bundle on C is said to be *non-effective* if its only global section is the zero section.

The following theorem gives an interpretation of equivalence classes of linear determinantal representations in terms of isomorphism classes of non-effective line bundles. It is well-known at least when k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 ([Vin89, Bea00, DG11, Ho09, Dol12, Ish14]).

Theorem 3.2. There is a natural bijection between the following sets:

- the set of equivalence classes of linear determinantal representations of C over k, and
- the set of isomorphism classes of non-effective line bundles of degree $g(C) - 1$ on C.

Proof. We briefly sketch the proof. The following argument works over arbitrary fields k. We follow arguments of Beauville ([Bea00, Proposition 1.11]); see also [Ish14, Proposition 2.6, Proposition 6.3, 6.7].

Recall that, for a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$, the following two conditions are equivalent.

(1) The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ has a minimal locally free resolution of the form
$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)^r \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)^r \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$
for some $r \geq 1$.

(2) The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay, and pure of dimension 1. It also satisfies
$$H^0\big(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F}\big) = H^1\big(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F}\big) = 0.$$

When these conditions are satisfied, the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is the push-forward of a coherent sheaf on the one-dimensional closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^2$ defined by $(\det(M) = 0)$.

We go back to the proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $\pi\colon C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane curve of degree d, and $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on C. Then the push-forward
$$\mathcal{F} := \pi_* \mathcal{L}$$

is arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay, pure of dimension 1 and $\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{F} = C$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is non-effective of degree $g(C) - 1$, we have

$$H^0\big(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F}\big) = H^1\big(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F}\big) = 0$$

by the Riemann–Roch theorem for C. Hence $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies (2), and $\mathcal{F}$ has a minimal locally free resolution of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)^r \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)^r \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}$$

for some $r \geq 1$. Therefore the equation $(\det(M) = 0)$ defines C and we have $r = d$. Hence M gives a linear determinantal representation of C over k. A straightforward calculation shows that isomorphic line bundles give equivalent M's, and non-isomorphic line bundles give non-equivalent M's.

Conversely, a linear determinantal representation M of C give a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$ by the short exact sequence (3.1). Hence $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the condition (1), and we can identify $\mathcal{F}$ with the push-forward of a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on C. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay, $\mathcal{L}$ is a vector bundle on C. By computing the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{L}$, we have

$$\operatorname{length}_{\mathcal{O}_{C,\eta}}(\mathcal{L}_\eta) = 1$$

for the generic point η of C. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on C. By the vanishing of cohomology

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{L}) = H^1(C, \mathcal{L}) = 0,$$

we obtain that $\mathcal{L}$ is non-effective of degree $g(C) - 1$ by the Riemann–Roch theorem. It is straightforward to prove that equivalent linear determinantal representations give isomorphic line bundles. This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

4. Linear determinantal representations of lines and conics

As a simple application of Theorem 3.2, let us treat the case of lines and conics.

4.1. **Lines.** Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a line defined over a field k. It is defined by a k-linear form $l(X_0, X_1, X_2)$. The linear form l considered as a square matrix of size one gives a unique equivalence class of linear determinantal representations of C.

Let us reconsider this from the viewpoint of Theorem 3.2. We shall find a non-effective line bundle of degree $g(C) - 1 = -1$ on C. The degree homomorphism gives an isomorphism

$$\deg\colon \operatorname{Pic}(C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}\,; \quad [\mathcal{L}] \mapsto \deg \mathcal{L}.$$

Let $P \in C(k)$ be a k-rational point. The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_C(-P)$ is non-effective of degree -1, so C has a unique equivalence class of linear determinantal representations over k corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_C(-P)$.

4.2. **Conics.** Next we treat the case of smooth conics. Compare the following proposition with [II14a, Proposition 4.1], where similar results are proved for *symmetric* determinantal representations.

Proposition 4.1. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane conic defined over a field k. The following conditions are equivalent.

(i) The conic C admits a linear determinantal representation over k.
(ii) The conic C has a k-rational point.
(iii) The conic C is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ over k.
(iv) The relative Brauer group $\operatorname{Br}(C/k)$ vanishes.

When these conditions are satisfied, the conic C has a unique equivalence class of linear determinantal representations over k.

Proof. We first assume (i). By Theorem 3.2, there exists a non-effective line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on C of degree -1. Then $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is a line bundle of degree one. The complete linear system $\left|\mathcal{L}^{-1}\right|$ gives a k-isomorphism $C \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1$. This shows (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious, and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follows from Corollary 2.3.

Since the action of the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)$ does not change the degree of line bundles, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Pic}_{C/k}(k) &= \mathrm{Pic}_{C/k}(k^s)^{\mathrm{Gal}(k^s/k)} \\ &= \mathrm{Pic}_{C/k}(k^s) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the degree minus one part $\mathrm{Pic}^{-1}_{C/k}(k)$ is a singleton. Hence if we assume (iv), we obtain that $\mathrm{Pic}^{-1}(C) \cong \mathrm{Pic}^{-1}_{C/k}(k)$ is a singleton and C has a unique equivalence class of linear determinantal representations over k by Theorem 3.2. This shows the implication (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and the last assertion. □

As seen in the above proof, the relative Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(C/k)$ is generated by a single element in $\delta_C([\mathrm{Pic}^1_{C/k}(k)])$. By class field theory, we have the following corollary. Compare it with [II14a, Theorem 5.1 (1)].

Corollary 4.2. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane conic over a global field k. Then C admits a linear determinantal representation over k if and only if C admits a linear determinantal representation over each local field k_v of k.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, C admits a linear determinantal representation over k if and only if the relative Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(C/k)$ vanishes. By the above observation, $\mathrm{Br}(C/k)$ is generated by a single element

$$\alpha_C \in \delta_C([\mathrm{Pic}^1_{C/k}(k)]).$$

If C admits a linear determinantal representation over each local field k_v of k, the image of α_C in $\mathrm{Br}(k_v)$ is zero for each place v of k. By class field theory, α_C vanishes in $\mathrm{Br}(k)$ ([Tat65, §9, §10]). Hence C admits a linear determinantal representation over k. □

Example 4.3 (See [Vin89].)**.** Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth conic over a field k with a k-rational point $P \in C(k)$. By changing the projective coordinates, we may assume $P = [0:0:1]$ and

$$C = (X_0X_2 - X_1^2 = 0).$$

The conic C has a unique equivalence class of linear determinantal representations over k given by the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} X_0 & X_1 \\ X_1 & X_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since M is a symmetric matrix, we find any linear determinantal representation of $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is equivalent to a *symmetric* determinantal representation.

5. Linear determinantal representations of cubics

In this section, we study linear determinantal representations of smooth plane cubics over arbitrary fields.

Proposition 5.1. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane cubic over a field k.

(i) If C admits a linear determinantal representation over k, then $\mathrm{Jac}(C)(k) \neq 0$.
(ii) If the relative Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(C/k)$ vanishes and $\mathrm{Jac}(C)(k) \neq 0$, then C admits a linear determinantal representation over k.

Proof. (i) Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on C corresponding to a linear determinantal representation of C over k by Theorem 3.2. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is non-effective of degree 0. The image $[\mathcal{L}]$ of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathrm{Jac}(C)(k)$ is a non-trivial k-rational point on $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$.
(ii) Since $\mathrm{Br}(C/k) = 0$, by the short exact sequence (2.1), we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Pic}(C) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Pic}_{C/k}(k).$$

Hence each non-trivial k-rational point of $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ corresponds to a non-trivial line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on C of degree 0 defined over k. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is non-effective, hence it gives a linear determinantal representation of C by Theorem 3.2. □

Corollary 5.2. Assume that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $\mathrm{Br}(k) = 0$, or
- C has a k-rational point, or
- k is a global field and X has a k_v-rational point for each place v of k.

Then C admits a linear determinantal representation over k if and only if $\mathrm{Jac}(C)(k) \neq 0$.

Proof. The assertion follows from Corollary 2.3 and Proposition 5.1 (ii). □

Remark 5.3. If C has no k-rational point, there can be a difference between the set of equivalence classes of linear determinantal representations over k and the set $\mathrm{Jac}(C)(k) \setminus \{0\}$. It turns out that there are two smooth plane cubics C, C' over $\mathbb{Q}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\mathrm{Jac}(C) \cong \mathrm{Jac}(C')$, and
- C admits a linear determinantal representation over $\mathbb{Q}$, and
- C' does not admit a linear determinantal representation over $\mathbb{Q}$.

For explicit examples, see Example 7.4.

Corollary 5.4. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane cubic over a field k. If there is a k-rational point $P \in \mathrm{Jac}(C)(k)$ with $[3]P \neq 0$, then the cubic C admits a linear determinantal representation over k.

Proof. We have

$$\delta_C([3]P) = 3\delta_C(P) = 0$$

in the relative Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(C/k)$ by Corollary 2.4. Hence $[3]P$ comes from a non-trivial line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on C of degree 0 by the short exact sequence (2.1). The line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ corresponds to a linear determinantal representation of C over k by Theorem 3.2. □

Corollary 5.5. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane cubic over a field k with $\#C(k) \geq 2$. Then the cubic C admits a linear determinantal representation over k.

Proof. Since C has a k-rational point, the existence of a linear determinantal representation of C over k is equivalent to $\mathrm{Jac}(C)(k) \neq 0$ by Corollary 5.2. For two distinct k-rational points $P \neq Q$ on C, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_C(P - Q)$ gives a non-zero k-rational point on $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$. □

In the rest of this section, we exhibit some examples of linear determinantal representations of smooth plane cubics.

Example 5.6. Let k be a field of characteristic not equal to 2 nor 3 and

$$E\colon (X_1^2X_2 - X_0^3 - aX_0X_2^2 - bX_2^3 = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$$

an elliptic curve over k with origin $\mathcal{O} = [0 : 1 : 0]$ defined by a Weierstrass equation. By Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 5.1, there is a bijection between $E(k) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}$ and the set of equivalence classes of linear determinantal representations of E over k. This can be given explicitly as follows. For a k-rational point

$$P = [\lambda : \mu : 1] \in E(k) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\},$$

the matrix

$$M_P := \begin{pmatrix} X_0 - \lambda X_2 & 0 & -X_1 - \mu X_2 \\ -X_1 + \mu X_2 & X_0 + \lambda X_2 & (a+\lambda^2)X_2 \\ 0 & X_2 & -X_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

gives a linear determinantal representation of E over k. Galinat proved in [Gal14] that they give all distinct representatives of equivalence classes of linear determinantal representations of E over k. See [Vin89] for other representatives when k is algebraically closed of characteristic not equal to 2 nor 3.

Example 5.7. Let k be a field of characteristic not equal to 2 nor 3 and

$$C\colon (X_0^3 + X_1^3 + X_2^3 + \lambda X_0X_1X_2 = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$$

a smooth plane cubic over k defined by Hesse's normal form. Let

$$P = [a_0 : a_1 : a_2] \in C(k)$$

be a k-rational point with $a_0a_1a_2 \neq 0$. Then the Moore matrix

$$M_P := \begin{pmatrix} a_0X_0 & a_1X_2 & a_2X_1 \\ a_1X_1 & a_2X_0 & a_0X_2 \\ a_2X_2 & a_0X_1 & a_1X_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

gives a linear determinantal representation of C over k. Buchweitz and Pavlov proved in [BP15, Theorem A] that two Moore matrices $M_P, M_{P'}$ are equivalent if and only if two divisors $3P$ and $3P'$ on C are linearly equivalent. Moreover, if k is algebraically closed, for any equivalence class of linear determinantal representations of C over k, there is a point $P = [a_0 : a_1 : a_2] \in C(k)$ with $a_0a_1a_2 \neq 0$ such that M_P gives a representative of the equivalence class.

6. Linear determinantal representations of plane cubics over large fields

In this section, we prove that any smooth cubic over a large field admits a linear determinantal representation. Let us recall the notion of *large fields* introduced by F. Pop.

Definition 6.1 ([Pop96, Jar11])**.** A *large field* k is a field having the following property: for any smooth algebraic curve C over k with a k-rational point, C has infinitely many k-rational points.

Large fields are also called *ample fields* ([Jar11]). It is known that the class of large fields is quite rich, and it contains many interesting fields. For example, the following fields are known to be large.

- PAC (Pseudo-Algebraically Closed) fields are large. Hence all separably closed fields are large.
- Fields which are complete with respect to non-trivial absolute values are large. For example, all non-archimedean and archimedean local fields (i.e. finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{F}_p((t)), \mathbb{R}$) are large.
- The quotient field of a domain which is Henselian with respect to a non-trivial ideal is large. For example, $k((x,y)) = \mathrm{Frac}\, k[[x,y]]$ is large ([Pop10]).
- A field whose absolute Galois group is a pro-p group for a prime p is large ([Jar11, Theorem 5.8.3]).

Theorem 6.2. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth plane cubic over a large field k. Then C has infinitely many equivalence classes of linear determinantal representations over k.

Proof. Since k is large, the Jacobian variety $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ has infinitely many k-rational points. Hence $[3]\,\mathrm{Jac}(C)(k)$ is an infinite set. By Corollary 2.4, each element in $[3]\,\mathrm{Jac}(C)(k)$ has the vanishing image under the homomorphism δ_C, so any element in $[3]\,\mathrm{Jac}(C)(k)$ comes from a

line bundle of degree 0 on C defined over k. By Theorem 3.2, any element $\alpha \in [3]\operatorname{Jac}(C)(k)$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ gives an equivalence class of linear determinantal representations of C over k. □

Corollary 6.3. Any smooth plane cubic over a local field k (i.e. a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{F}_p((t)), \mathbb{R}$) has infinitely many equivalence classes of linear determinantal representations over k.

When k is a p-adic field, Corollary 6.3 was proved by Deajim–Grant (see [DG11, Proposition 4.1]).

Remark 6.4. For a local field k, it is possible to prove $\#\operatorname{Jac}(C)(k) = \infty$ directly. It is obvious for $k = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. When k is non-archimedean, it can be proved using formal group laws (see [Sil09, Chapter VII, Proposition 2.2] and [DG11, Proposition 4.1]).

Remark 6.5. If the degree of a smooth plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is different from 3, Theorem 6.2 need not be true; in fact, it does not hold for smooth conics. The Severi–Brauer variety of a quaternion division algebra over a local field k is a smooth conic without k-rational points. Hence it does not admit a linear determinantal representation by Proposition 4.1. For a concrete example, the conic

$$C = (X_0^2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2 = 0)$$

over $\mathbb{R}$ does not have an $\mathbb{R}$-rational point. Hence it does not admit a linear determinantal representation over $\mathbb{R}$ by Proposition 4.1.

Remark 6.6. Smooth plane cubics over a large field need not admit *symmetric* determinantal representations. In fact, they correspond to a special class of line bundles called non-effective *theta characteristics* (see [Bea00, Proposition 4.2] and [Ish14, Theorem 1.1]). The finiteness of equivalence classes of symmetric determinantal representations over an algebraically closed field causes the differences of behavior between linear determinantal representations and symmetric determinantal representations.

7. Applications to the local-global principle

As applications of the results in the previous section, we give a sufficient condition for a smooth plane cubic over a global field to fail the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations.

Definition 7.1. A smooth plane cubic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over a global field k is said to *fail the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- the cubic C *admits* a linear determinantal representation over each local field k_v of k, but
- the cubic C *does not admit* a linear determinantal representation over k.

If C does not fail the local-global principle, we say that C *satisfies the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations*.

Note that any smooth plane cubic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over a global field k always admits a linear determinantal representation over each local field k_v by Corollary 6.3. Hence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. A smooth plane cubic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over a global field k satisfies (resp. fails) the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations if and only if C admits (resp. does not admit) a linear determinantal representation over k.

Proposition 7.3. Let k be a global field. Any smooth plane cubic C over k with $\operatorname{Jac}(C)(k) = 0$ fails the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations.

Proof. By Proposition 5.1 (i), the cubic C does not admit a linear determinantal representation over k. Hence it fails the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations by Lemma 7.2. □

By Proposition 7.3, it is easy to construct examples of smooth plane cubics which fail the local-global principle as follows. There are infinitely many explicit examples of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ with trivial Mordell–Weil group; for example, see [NH88]. Since elliptic curves can be considered as smooth plane cubics, we can construct infinitely many smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ which fail the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations.

In general, the condition "$\mathrm{Jac}(C)(k) = 0$" is not a necessary condition for a smooth plane cubic C over a global field k to fail the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations. We conclude this section with examples of smooth plane cubics C over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mathrm{Jac}(C)(\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ but C does not admit a linear determinantal representation over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Example 7.4. Let us give infinitely many examples of smooth plane cubics C over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that

- the cubic C does not admit a linear determinantal representation over $\mathbb{Q}$, and
- the Mordell–Weil group $\mathrm{Jac}(C)(\mathbb{Q})$ is non-trivial, and
- the relative Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(C/\mathbb{Q})$ is non-trivial.

Let $\mathbb{Q}(u)$ be a cyclic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree 3 generated by a root u of the equation

$$u^3 - 9u + 9 = 0,$$

and τ a generator of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(u)/\mathbb{Q})$. Let us take a prime number p which is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(u)$. For example, we can take $p = 2$ or 5. There are infinitely many such primes p by Chebotarev's density theorem [Neu99, Theorem 13.4]. Consider the following smooth plane cubic over $\mathbb{Q}$:

$$C_p \colon (pX_0^3 + p^2X_1^3 - X_2^3 = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^2.$$

The Jacobian variety $E_p = \mathrm{Jac}(C_p)$ of C_p has a Weierstrass equation

$$E_p \colon \left(X_1^2X_2 - X_0^3 + \frac{27}{4}p^6X_2^3 = 0\right)$$

([HHW12, §4]), and is isomorphic to the elliptic curve of Cremona label 27a1 ([Cre])

$$E \colon \left(X_1^2X_2 - X_0^3 + \frac{27}{4}X_2^3 = 0\right)$$

independently of the choice of p. The Mordell–Weil group $E_p(\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, and generated by $[6p^2 : -9p^3 : 2] \in E_p(\mathbb{Q})$. Let us calculate the relative Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(C_p/\mathbb{Q})$ of C_p. We apply [HHW12, Proposition 4.4] with constants $a = p, b = p^2, c = -27p^6/4, r = 3p^2, s = -9p^3/2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= -\frac{9p^3}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{-27p^6}{4}} \\ &= \frac{-9 + 3\sqrt{-3}}{2} \cdot p^3.\end{aligned}$$

Then the relative Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(C_p/\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the class

$$\delta_{C_p}([6p^2 : -9p^3 : 2]) = [(\mathbb{Q}(u)/\mathbb{Q}, \tau, p)] \in \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{Q})[3].$$

Since the prime p is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(u)$, the ideal $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(u)}$ is a prime ideal, and the central simple algebra $(\mathbb{Q}(u)/\mathbb{Q}, \tau, p)$ is a division algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ ramified at p. Hence the class

$$[(\mathbb{Q}(u)/\mathbb{Q}, \tau, p)] \in \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$$

is non-trivial. We conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Br}(C_p/\mathbb{Q}) &= \langle \delta_{C_p}([6p^2 : -9p^3 : 2])\rangle \\ &= \langle [(\mathbb{Q}(u)/\mathbb{Q}, \tau, p)]\rangle \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

By the short exact sequence (2.1), we have $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C_p) = 0$ and there is no non-effective line bundle of degree 0 on C_p defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. By Theorem 3.2, the cubic C_p does not admit a linear determinantal representation over $\mathbb{Q}$. Because the elements

$$[(\mathbb{Q}(u)/\mathbb{Q}, \tau, p)] \in \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$$

are distinct for different choices of primes p, the cubics C_p are not isomorphic to each other over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 7.5. The smooth plane cubics C_p in Example 7.4 show another phenomenon stated in Remark 5.3. Smooth plane cubics C_p and the elliptic curve E have isomorphic Jacobian varieties, the cubics C_p do not admit linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$. But the elliptic curve E admits a linear determinantal representation over $\mathbb{Q}$ by Corollary 5.2.

Remark 7.6. Proposition 7.3 is in contrast with the results in [II14a] and [II14b]. In those papers, it is proved that any smooth plane cubic over a global field *satisfies* the local-global principle for the existence of *symmetric* determinantal representations (see [II14a, Theorem 1.1] for the case of characteristic not equal to two and [II14b, Theorem 1.2] for the case of characteristic two).

8. Linear determinantal representations of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$

In this section, we study the proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ which admit (resp. do not admit) linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Before that, let us recall the notion of height of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. Any elliptic curve $(E, \mathcal{O})$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is defined by a unique Weierstrass equation

$$E_{A,B} \colon (X_1^2X_2 - X_0^3 - AX_0X_2^2 - BX_2^3 = 0), \tag{8.1}$$

where $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $4A^3 + 27B^2 \neq 0$, and, for any prime p with $p^4 \mid A$, we have $p^6 \nmid B$. We define the *height* $H(E_{A,B})$ of the elliptic curve $E_{A,B}$ by

$$H(E_{A,B}) := \max\{4|A|^3, 27B^2\}.$$

This is a well-defined quantity for an arbitrary isomorphism class of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$.

For a constant $X > 0$, we define $\mathrm{Ell}(X)$ as the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ having height less than X. Let $\mathrm{Ell}(X)_a$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ell}(X)_{na}$) be the subset of $\mathrm{Ell}(X)$ of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ which admit (resp. do not admit) linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 8.1. We order all isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ by height.

(i) A positive proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ *admit* linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e., we have

$$\liminf_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#\mathrm{Ell}(X)_a}{\#\mathrm{Ell}(X)} > 0.$$

(ii) A positive proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ *do not admit* linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e., we have

$$\liminf_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#\mathrm{Ell}(X)_{na}}{\#\mathrm{Ell}(X)} > 0.$$

Proof. (i) Bhargava–Skinner proved that, when ordered by height, a positive proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have Mordell–Weil rank 1 ([BSk14, Theorem 1]). By Corollary 5.4, an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with positive Mordell–Weil rank admits a linear determinantal representation over $\mathbb{Q}$ (actually, it has infinitely many equivalence classes of linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$). Hence a positive proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ admit linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$.

(ii) Bhargava–Shankar proved that, when ordered by height, a positive proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have Mordell–Weil rank 0 ([BSh15b, Theorem 4]). It is well-known that 100% of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have Mordell-Weil group with trivial torsion (for example, see [BKLPR15, Lemma 5.7]). Combining these two results, we conclude that a positive proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have trivial Mordell–Weil group. They do not admit linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$ by Proposition 7.3. □

Remark 8.2. Instead of using the results in [BSh15b] and [BSk14], we can use the results of Bhargava–Skinner–W. Zhang in the recent preprint [BSZ14, Theorem 3] to improve Theorem 8.1. We can prove that at least 20.68% of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ admit linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$, and at least 16.50% of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ do not admit linear determinantal representations.

9. Linear determinantal representations of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$

In this section, we prove that, when ordered by height, a positive proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ admit linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$. We also prove that, if the conjecture of Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains on the distribution of Selmer groups is true, a positive proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ fail the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations. The method of the proof is similar to [Bha14].

9.1. **Statement of our results.** Let V be the space of ternary cubic forms in three variables X_0, X_1, X_2 over $\mathbb{Z}$. Since ternary cubic forms are parametrized by coefficients of monics, it is isomorphic to the 10-dimensional affine space $\mathbb{A}^{10}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let us denote the plane cubic over $\mathbb{Q}$ corresponding to $v \in V$ as $C(v) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

Consider the action of GL_3 on V defined by

$$(g \cdot f)(X_0, X_1, X_2) := (\det g)^{-1} f((X_0, X_1, X_2) \cdot g)$$

for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_3$ and $v \in V$. This induces an action of PGL_3 on V. It is well-known that the ring of polynomial invariants of the representation $(\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Q}), V(\mathbb{Q}))$ is generated by two polynomials $A(v), B(v)$ of degree 4 and 6, respectively (see [Fis08, Theorem 4.4], [AKMMMP01, §3.2]). Also, there is an invariant

$$\Delta(v) := 4A(v)^3 + 27B(v)^2$$

of degree 12 called the *discriminant* of v, which vanishes if and only if the plane cubic $C(v)$ is not smooth.

Remark 9.1. There are slight differences between our settings and those in [Fis08] and [AKMMMP01]. In [Fis08], Fisher considered three invariants $c_4 = -A(v)/27$, $c_6 = -B(v)/54$ and $\Delta = -\Delta(v)/(1728 \cdot 27^3 \cdot 4)$. In [AKMMMP01], An–Kim–Marshall–Marshall–McCallum–Pellis considered two invariants $S = A(v)/(1296 \cdot 27)$ and $T = -B(v)/(5832 \cdot 54)$.

An element $v \in V$ is called *nondegenerate* if $\Delta(v) \neq 0$, and an element $v \in V$ is called *generic* if v is nondegenerate and $C(v)$ has no $\mathbb{Q}$-rational flex points. We note that an elliptic curve $(E, \mathcal{O})$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ embedded in $\mathbb{P}^2$ by the complete linear system $|3\mathcal{O}|$ is always non-generic because the origin $\mathcal{O} \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational flex point. If $v \in V$ is nondegenerate,

the Jacobian variety $\mathrm{Jac}(C(v))$ of the smooth plane cubic $C(v)$ is isomorphic to the elliptic curve

$$E_{A(v),B(v)} \colon (X_1^2X_2 - X_0^3 - A(v)X_0X_2^2 - B(v)X_2^3 = 0).$$

Definition 9.2. Let $v \in V(\mathbb{R})$ be a real ternary cubic form. The *height* $H(v)$ of v is the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of v. The *Jacobian height* $H_J(v)$ of v is defined as

$$H_J(v) := \max\{4|A(v)|^3, 27B(v)^2\}.$$

Note that, the Jacobian height of integral element $v \in V(\mathbb{Z})$ does not coincide with the height of elliptic curve $\mathrm{Jac}(C_v) = E_{A(v),B(v)}$ because we do not normalize constants $A(v), B(v)$.

Definition 9.3. For a constant $X > 0$, we define the subsets $V(X), V(X)_{\mathrm{gen}}, V(X)_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1}$, $V(X)_{\mathrm{MW}=0}$ of $V(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} V(X) &:= \{v \in V(\mathbb{Z}) \mid H(v) < X\}, \\ V(X)_{\mathrm{gen}} &:= \{v \in V(X) \mid v \text{ is generic}\}, \\ V(X)_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1} &:= \{v \in V(X)_{\mathrm{gen}} \mid \operatorname{rank} \mathrm{Jac}(C(v))(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 1\}, \\ V(X)_{\mathrm{MW}=0} &:= \{v \in V(X)_{\mathrm{gen}} \mid \mathrm{Jac}(C(v))(\mathbb{Q}) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We fix a fundamental domain $F \subset V(\mathbb{R})$ for the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 9.4. For a constant $X > 0$, we define the subsets $F_J(X)$, $F_J(X)_{\mathrm{gen}}$, $F_J(X)_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1}$, $F_J(X)_{\mathrm{MW}=0}$

$$\begin{aligned} F_J(X) &:= \{v \in F \cap V(\mathbb{Z}) \mid H_J(v) < X\}, \\ F_J(X)_{\mathrm{gen}} &:= \{v \in F_J(X) \mid v \text{ is generic}\}, \\ F_J(X)_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1} &:= \{v \in F_J(X)_{\mathrm{gen}} \mid \operatorname{rank} \mathrm{Jac}(C(v))(\mathbb{Q}) \geq 1\}, \\ F_J(X)_{\mathrm{MW}=0} &:= \{v \in F_J(X)_{\mathrm{gen}} \mid \mathrm{Jac}(C(v))(\mathbb{Q}) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we give the precise statement of the main results of this section.

Theorem 9.5. (i) When ordered by height, a positive proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ have Jacobian varieties with positive Mordell–Weil rank, i.e., we have

$$\liminf_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#V(X)_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1}}{\#V(X)} > 0.$$

(ii) Assume that the conjecture of Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains [BKLPR15, Conjecture 1.3] is true. When ordered by height, a positive proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ have Jacobian varieties with trivial Mordell–Weil group, i.e., we have

$$\liminf_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#V(X)_{\mathrm{MW}=0}}{\#V(X)} > 0.$$

9.2. **The Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains conjecture.** Before we prove Theorem 9.5, we recall the notion of Selmer groups of elliptic curves and some implications of the conjecture of Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains.

Let k be a global field, and n an integer invertible in k. The *n-Selmer group* $\mathrm{Sel}_n(E)$ of an elliptic curve E over k is a finite group which parametrizes locally soluble n-coverings of E (see [Sil09, Chapter X] and [CFOSS08]). There exists a short exact sequence of the following form

$$0 \longrightarrow E(k) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}_n(E) \longrightarrow Ш(E)[n] \longrightarrow 0, \tag{9.1}$$

where $Ш(E)$ denotes the *Tate–Shafarevich group* of E. We fix a prime number p invertible in k. Varying n over all powers of p, we obtain the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E) \longrightarrow Ш(E)[p^\infty] \longrightarrow 0 \tag{9.2}$$

from the short exact sequence (9.1).

Suppose that we are given a short exact sequence $\mathscr{S}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules. The conjecture of Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains [BKLPR15, Conjecture 1.3] concerns the density of elliptic curves E in $\mathrm{Ell}(X)$ for which the short exact sequence (9.2) is isomorphic to $\mathscr{S}$. We do not recall the precise statement of the conjecture. Instead, we quote from [BKLPR15] the following two implications.

Proposition 9.6. Assume that the conjecture of Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains [BKLPR15, Conjecture 1.3] is true.

(i) When ordered by height, 50% of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have Mordell–Weil rank 0 and 50% have Mordell–Weil rank 1 ([BKLPR15, §5.3]).

(ii) When ordered by height, the proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ with trivial p-Selmer group is

$$\prod_{j\geq 0}(1+p^{-j})^{-1}$$

for any prime number p ([BKLPR15, §5.3]; see also [PR12, Conjecture 1.1(a)]).

We will need the case of Mordell–Weil rank 0 of Proposition 9.6 (i) and the case of $p = 3$ of Proposition 9.6 (ii). These results give the following statement for the proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ with trivial Mordell–Weil group and non-trivial 3-Selmer group. Corollary 9.7 will be necessary in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii).

Corollary 9.7. Assume that the conjecture of Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains [BKLPR15, Conjecture 1.3] is true. When ordered by height, at least 1/8 of elliptic curves E over $\mathbb{Q}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- the Mordell–Weil group $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is trivial, and
- the 3-Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}_3(E)$ is non-trivial.

Proof. If the conjecture of Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains is true, by Proposition 9.6 (i), we have

$$\lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#\{E \in \mathrm{Ell}(X) \mid \operatorname{rank} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 0\}}{\#\mathrm{Ell}(X)} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since 100% of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have Mordell–Weil group with trivial torsion (cf. [BKLPR15, Lemma 5.7]), we obtain

$$\lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#\{E \in \mathrm{Ell}(X) \mid E(\mathbb{Q}) = 0\}}{\#\mathrm{Ell}(X)} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 9.6 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#\{E \in \mathrm{Ell}(X) \mid \mathrm{Sel}_3(E) = 0\}}{\#\mathrm{Ell}(X)} &= \prod_{j\geq 0}(1+3^{-j})^{-1} \\ &= 0.319502\cdots < \frac{3}{8}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, when ordered by height, at least $1/2 - 3/8 = 1/8$ of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have trivial Mordell–Weil group and non-trivial 3-Selmer group. □

Remark 9.8. It seems difficult to obtain results like Corollary 9.7 unconditionally. If an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ have trivial Mordell–Weil group and non-trivial 3-Selmer group, its 3-Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}_3(E)$ is expected to have even rank greater than or equal to 2. For the

moment, it seems difficult to prove that a positive proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have 3-Selmer rank greater than or equal to 2. It is the main reason why our Theorem 1.1 (ii) is conditional.

9.3. **The proportion of smooth plane cubics.** First we prove the following proposition concerning the proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to the Jacobian height.

Proposition 9.9. (i) There is a constant $a > 0$ such that

$$\#F_J(X)_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1} \geq aX^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6}).$$

(ii) If the conjecture of Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains [BKLPR15, Conjecture 1.3] is true, there is a constant $b > 0$ such that

$$\#F_J(X)_{\mathrm{MW}=0} \geq bX^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6}).$$

Proof. (i) By [BSh15a, Theorem 3.17], we see that

$$\#\mathrm{Ell}(X) = c_1X^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6}) \tag{9.3}$$

for some constant $c_1 > 0$. Bhargava–Skinner proved in [BSk14, Theorem 1] that

$$\#\left\{E \in \mathrm{Ell}(X) \mid \operatorname{rank} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1\right\} \geq c_2X^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6})$$

for some constant $c_2 > 0$. By the short exact sequence (9.1), each elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ with Mordell–Weil rank 1 has at least 2 non-trivial elements in the 3-Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}_3(E)$. Such elements give at least one generic element v in $F_J(X)_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1}$. (See [BSh15b, Proposition 30], where generic elements are called *strongly irreducible*.) Hence the number of generic elements $v \in F_J(X)_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1}$ is at least

$$2c_2X^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6}).$$

Putting $a = 2c_2$, we obtain the desired inequality.

(ii) By Corollary 9.7, if the conjecture of Bhargava–Kane–Lenstra–Poonen–Rains is true, at least $1/8$ of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have trivial Mordell–Weil group and non-trivial 3-Selmer group. Since each of them gives at least 2 generic elements in $F_J(X)_{\mathrm{MW}=0}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_J(X)_{\mathrm{MW}=0} &\geq 2 \cdot \frac{1}{8} \cdot c_1X^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6}) \\ &= \frac{c_1}{4}X^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6}), \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is the same constant as in (9.3). Putting $b = c_1/4$, we obtain the second inequality. □

Proof (Proof of Theorem 9.5)**.** (i) Bhargava–Shankar proved in [BSh15b, Theorem 8] that

$$\#F_J(X)_{\mathrm{gen}} = c_3X^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6}) \tag{9.4}$$

for some constant $c_3 > 0$. Hence, by Proposition 9.9 (i), the number of generic elements $v \in F_J(X)_{\mathrm{gen}}$ with $\operatorname{rank}\mathrm{Jac}(C(v))(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ is at most

$$(c_3 - a)X^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6}). \tag{9.5}$$

In other words, at most $1 - a/c_3$ of elements in $F_J(X)_{\mathrm{gen}}$ have Jacobian varieties with Mordell–Weil rank 0.

Next we consider two regions $D(X), D_J(X)$ of $V(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{10}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D(X) &:= \{v \in V(\mathbb{R}) \mid H(v) < X^{1/12}\}, \\ D_J(X) &:= \{v \in F \mid H_J(v) < X\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $D(X)$ is a bounded region. On the other hand, the region $D_J(X)$ is not bounded but has finite volume ([BSh15b, §2.3]). In fact, we have

$$\mathrm{vol}(D_J(X)) = c_3X^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6}), \tag{9.6}$$

where $c_3 > 0$ is the same constant as in (9.4) (see [BSh15b, §2, (11)] and [BSh15b, Proposition 16]; see also the paragraph after Theorem 16 in [Bha14]). Since the region $D_J(X)$ has finite volume and $H_J(Yv) = Y^{12}H_J(v)$ and $H(Yv) = YH(v)$ for $Y > 0$ and $v \in V(\mathbb{R})$, we can take a constant $r > 0$ independently of X such that

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(D(rX) \cap D_J(X))}{\mathrm{vol}(D_J(X))} > 1 - \frac{a}{c_3}.$$

We fix such a constant $r > 0$, and put

$$\delta := \frac{\mathrm{vol}(D(rX) \cap D_J(X))}{\mathrm{vol}(D_J(X))} - \left(1 - \frac{a}{c_3}\right) > 0.$$

The constant $\delta > 0$ does not depend on X. Note that $F_J(X) = D_J(X) \cap V(\mathbb{Z})$ while $F(X^{1/12}) = D(X) \cap V(\mathbb{Z})$.

The region $D(rX) \cap D_J(X)$ is bounded and non-generic elements in $D(rX) \cap F_J(X)$ are negligible with respect to $X^{5/6}$ ([BSh15b, §2.5]). By (9.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\#(D(rX) \cap F_J(X)_{\mathrm{gen}}) &= \mathrm{vol}(D(rX) \cap D_J(X)) + o(X^{5/6}) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{a}{c_3} + \delta\right) \mathrm{vol}(D_J(X)) + o(X^{5/6}) \\ &= (c_3 - a + \delta c_3)X^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\#(D(rX) \cap F_J(X)_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1}) \geq \delta c_3 X^{5/6} + o(X^{5/6}).$$

Replacing X by $r^{-1}X^{12}$, we obtain

$$\#(D(X^{12}) \cap F_J(r^{-1}X^{12})_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1}) \geq \frac{\delta c_3}{r^{5/6}} X^{10} + o(X^{10}).$$

Since V is a 10-dimensional affine space, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\#V(X) &= \#(D(X^{12}) \cap V(\mathbb{Z})) \\ &= c_4 X^{10} + o(X^{10})\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c_4 > 0$. Combining these results, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#V(X)_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1}}{\#V(X)} &\geq \liminf_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#(D(X^{12}) \cap F_J(r^{-1}X^{12})_{\mathrm{rk}\geq 1})}{\#V(X)} \\ &\geq \frac{\delta c_3}{c_4 r^{5/6}} > 0.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) We briefly sketch the proof because the proof of (ii) is similar to the proof of (i). First we count the number of generic elements in $F_J(X)_{\mathrm{gen}}$ with $\mathrm{Jac}(C(v))(\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$. Then we take a constant $r' > 0$ independently of X so that

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(D(r'X) \cap D_J(X))}{\mathrm{vol}(D_J(X))} > 1 - \frac{b}{c_3}.$$

From this and Proposition 9.9 (ii), we obtain

$$\#(F_J(r'^{-1}X^{12})_{\mathrm{MW}=0} \cap D(X^{12})) \geq \frac{\delta' c_3}{r'^{5/6}} X^{10} + o(X^{10})$$

for the constant

$$\delta' := \frac{\mathrm{vol}(D(r'X) \cap D_J(X))}{\mathrm{vol}(D_J(X))} - \left(1 - \frac{b}{c_3}\right) > 0.$$

Note that the constant $\delta' > 0$ does not depend on X. Thus we conclude

$$\liminf_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#V(X)_{\mathrm{MW}=0}}{\#V(X)} \geq \frac{\delta' c_3}{c_4 r'^{5/6}} > 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 9.5. □

9.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Finally, we prove Theorem 1.1 using Theorem 9.5.

Proof (Proof of Theorem 1.1)**.** (i) By Theorem 9.5 (i), there are a positive proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ whose Jacobian varieties have Mordell–Weil rank 1. By Corollary 5.4, they admit linear determinantal representations over $\mathbb{Q}$.

(ii) By Theorem 9.5 (ii), there are a positive proportion of smooth plane cubics over $\mathbb{Q}$ whose Jacobian varieties have trivial Mordell–Weil group. By Proposition 7.3, they fail the local-global principle for the existence of linear determinantal representations. □

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank sincerely to Professor Tetsushi Ito for various and inspiring comments. The author is grateful to Professor Takashi Taniguchi for helpful suggestions.

References

[AKMMMP01] S. Y. An, S. Y. Kim, D. C. Marshall, S. H. Marshall, W. G. McCallum and A. R. Pellis. *Jacobians of genus one curves*. J. Number Theory. **90** (2001), vol. 2, pp. 304–315.

[Bea00] A. Beauville. *Determinantal hypersurfaces*. Dedicated to W. Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday. Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), pp. 39–64.

[Bha14] M. Bhargava. *A positive proportion of plane cubics fail the Hasse principle*. arXiv: 1402.1131.

[BGW13] M. Bhargava, B. H. Gross and X. Wang. *Arithmetic invariant theory II: Pure inner forms and obstructions to the existence of orbits*. arXiv: 1310.7689, to appear in Prog. in Math., Representations of Lie Groups: In Honor of David A. Vogan, Jr. on his 60th Birthday.

[BKLPR15] M. Bhargava, D. M. Kane, H. W. Lenstra Jr., B. Poonen and E. Rains. *Modeling the distribution of ranks, Selmer groups, and Shafarevich–Tate groups of elliptic curves*. Camb. J. Math. **3** (2015), no. 3, pp. 275–321.

[BSh15a] M. Bhargava and A. Shankar. *Binary quartic forms having bounded invariants, and the boundedness of the average rank of elliptic curves*. Ann. of Math. (2) **181**(2015), no. 1, pp. 191–242.

[BSh15b] M. Bhargava and A. Shankar. *Ternary cubic forms having bounded invariants, and the existence of a positive proportion of elliptic curves having rank 0*. Ann. of Math. (2) **181** (2015), no. 2, pp. 587–621.

[BSk14] M. Bhargava and C. Skinner. *A positive proportion of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ have rank one*. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **29** (2014), no. 2, pp. 221–242.

[BSZ14] M. Bhargava, C. Skinner and W. Zhang. *A majority of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ satisfy the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture*. arXiv: 1407.1826.

[BLR90] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert and M. Raynaud. *Néron models*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 21. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[BP15] R.-O. Buchweitz and A. Pavlov. *Moore matrices and Ulrich bundles on an elliptic curve*. arXiv: 1511.05502.

[CK12] M. Ciperiani and D. Krashen. *Relative Brauer groups of genus 1 curves*. Israel J. Math. **192** (2012), pp. 921–949.

[Cre] J. E. Cremona. *"Elliptic curve Data"*. http://johncremona.github.io/ecdata/.

[CFOSS08] J. E. Cremona, T. Fisher, C. O'Neil, D. Simon and M. Stoll. *Explicit n-descent of elliptic curves. I. Algebra*. J. Reine Angew. Math., **615** (2008), pp. 121–155.

[DJ14] C. Delaunay and F. Jouhet. *p^ℓ-torsion points in finite abelian groups and combinatorial identities*. Adv. in Math. **258** (2014), pp. 13–45.

[DG08] A. Deajim and D. Grant. *Space-time codes and non-associative division algebras arising from elliptic curves*. Computational arithmetic geometry, pp. 29–44, Contemp. Math., **463**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[DG11] A. Deajim and D. Grant. *On the classification of 3-dimensional non-associative division algebras over p-adic fields*. J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **23** (2011), no. 2, pp. 329–346.

[Dol12] I. Dolgachev. *Classical Algebraic Geometry: A Modern View*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.

[Fis08] T. Fisher. *The invariants of a genus one curve*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **97** (2008), vol. 3, pp. 753–782.

[FN14] T. Fisher and R. Newton. *Computing the Cassels–Tate pairing on the 3-Selmer group of an elliptic curve*. Int. J. Number Theory. **10** (2014), no. 7, pp. 1881–1907.

[Gal14] L. Galinat. *Orlov's Equivalence and Maximal Cohen–Macaulay Modules over the Cone of an Elliptic Curve*. Math. Nachr. **287** (2014), no. 13, pp. 1438–1455.

[HHW12] D. E. Haile, I. Han and A. R. Wadsworth. *Curves $\mathcal{C}$ that are cyclic twists of $Y^2 = X^3 + c$ and the relative Brauer groups* $\mathrm{Br}(k(\mathcal{C})/k)$. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 9, pp. 4875–4908.

[Ho09] W. Ho. *Orbit parametrizations of curves*. Ph. D. Thesis, Princeton University, 2009.

[Ish14] Y. Ishitsuka. *Orbit parametrizations of theta characteristics on hypersurfaces over arbitrary fields*. arXiv: 1412.6978, submitted.

[II14a] Y. Ishitsuka and T. Ito. *The local-global principle for symmetric determinantal representations of smooth plane curves*. arXiv: 1412.8336, submitted.

[II14b] Y. Ishitsuka and T. Ito. *The local-global principle for symmetric determinantal representations of smooth plane curves in characteristic two*. arXiv: 1412.8343, submitted.

[II14c] Y. Ishitsuka and T. Ito. *On the symmetric determinantal representations of the Fermat curves of prime degrees*. arXiv: 1412.8345, to appear in International Journal of Number Theory.

[Jar11] M. Jarden. *Algebraic patching*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[Jou83] J. P. Jouanolou. *Théorèmes de Bertini et applications*. Progress in Mathematics, **42**. Birkhäuser, Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1983.

[Neu99] J. Neukirch. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **322**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 1999.

[NH88] J. Nakagawa and K. Horie. *Elliptic curves with no rational points*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 1, pp. 20–24.

[PR12] B. Poonen and E. Rains. *Random maximal isotropic subspaces and Selmer groups*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), no. 1, pp. 245–269.

[Pop96] F. Pop. *Embedding problems over large fields*. Ann. of Math. (2) **144** (1996), no. 1, pp. 1–34.

[Pop10] F. Pop. *Henselian implies large*. Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 3, pp. 2183–2195.

[Sil09] J. H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **106**, Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009.

[Tat65] J. T. Tate. *Global class field theory*, 1967. In Algebraic Number Theory (Proc. Instructional Conf., Brighton, 1965), Thompson, Washington D.C. pp. 162–203.

[Vin89] V. Vinnikov. *Complete Description of Determinantal Representations of Smooth Irreducible Curves*. Linear Algebra Appl. **125** (1989), pp. 103–140.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
E-mail address: yasu-ishi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp